



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/516,351	12/01/2004	Eiko Shimizu	018793-274	9534	
21839	7590 02/23/2006		EXAMINER		
	AN INGERSOLL PC	LIU, JONATHAN			
(INCLUDING BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS) POST OFFICE BOX 1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXAND	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			3673	
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/516,351	SHIMIZU ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jonathan J. Liu	3673
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Description</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 December 2004</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/1/2004. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/516,351 Page 2

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-3, and 9-10 objected to because of the following informalities: the specified claims recite the phrase "the filling chamber." As understood by the examiner, this phrase is interpreted as the "the multiple filling chambers" as recited in claim 1. Applicant is advised to change "the filling chamber" to "the multiple filling chambers" where appropriate. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Balaton (US 5044030). Balaton discloses a cushion comprising multiple filling chambers (see figure 4), wherein the filling chambers are formed of flexible sheets (col. 2, lines 50-53), a gel-like heat medium is charged into the filling chambers (col. 5, line
- 3), and the filling chambers are expanded by a pressure of the heat medium.

Regarding claim 10, the sheets forming the filling chamber are a pair of opposite thermoplastic resin sheets, which are heat-bonded along the contours of the filling chamber to define the filling chamber (col. 3, lines 45-47).

Art Unit: 3673

With respect to claim 11, the mat provides flexible fins, which are extended outwardly from at least a part of margins (see figure 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2, and 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balaton (US 5044030) in view of Kitagawa (JP 10-304950). Balaton discloses the mat as claimed in claim 1. However, Balaton fails to disclose inserting bags of the heat medium into the filling chambers.

Kitagawa discloses a mat comprising an inner bag containing the heat medium, said bag being enveloped by a filling chamber (see figure 3). The reference suggests that the heat medium is charged into the filling chamber by charging the heat medium into a bag capable of being inserted closely into the filling chamber, inserting the bag having the heat medium charged therein into the filling chamber and sealing the filling chamber. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the cushion taught by Balaton with charging bags with a heat medium, and inserting said bags into the filling chambers in order to provide for easy replacement of the heat medium bags in case of punctures/tears.

Application/Control Number: 10/516,351

Art Unit: 3673

Regarding claims 4 and 5, see the explanation of claims 10 and 11.

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balaton (US 5044030) in view of Kitagawa (JP 10-304950) as applied to claim 2, in further view of Perdelwitz et al. (US 4886697). Balaton as modified, discloses the mat of claim 2. However, Balaton as modified, fails to disclose an emboss near a filling chamber.

Perdelwitz discloses embossing a thermoplastic material in order to increase the density of the article (col. 12, lines 5-13). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to alter the cushion taught by Balaton as modified, with the embosses as taught by Perdelwitz in order to increase the density of the cushion near the ends of the filling chambers.

6. Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balaton (US 5044030) in view of Kitagawa (JP 10-304950) as applied to claim 2, in further view of Navarro (US 6226820). Balaton as modified, discloses the mat of claim 2. However, Balaton as modified, fails to disclose wherein the heat medium is a cooling and heating material.

Navarro discloses a gel pad wherein the gel can retain heat (thereby making it a warming material) or cold (thereby making it a cooling material) depending on the temperature to which the gel has been subjected (col. 5, lines 45-48). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to alter the cushion taught by Balaton as modified, with the gel as either a warming or cooling material as taught by Navarro, in order to make the user of the mat comfortable.

Art Unit: 3673

7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balaton (US 5044030) in view of Kitagawa (JP 10-304950) as applied to claim 2, in further view of Carson (US 6375674). Balaton as modified, discloses the mat of claim 2. However, Balaton as modified, fails to disclose wherein the heat medium is a hydrogel.

Carson discloses a cooling/heating pad comprising a hydrogel to achieve a relatively high thermal conductivity (col. 9, lines 12-17). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to alter the cushion taught by Balaton as modified, with the hydrogel as taught by Carson in order to improve the thermal conductivity of the cushion.

- 8. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balaton (US 5044030) in view of Perdelwitz et al. (US 4886697). Balaton discloses the mat as claimed in claim 1. However, Balaton fails to disclose an emboss formed in the vicinity of one end of the filling chambers. Regarding this claim, see the explanation of claim 3.
- 9. Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balaton (US 5044030) in view of Navarro (US 6226820). Balaton discloses the mat as claimed in claim 1. However, Balaton fails to disclose wherein the heat medium is a warming material or a cooling material. Regarding these claims, see the explanations of claims 6-7.
- 10. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balaton (US 5044030) in view of Carson (US 6375674). Balaton discloses the mat as claimed in claim 1. However, Balaton fails to disclose wherein the heat medium is a hydrogel. Regarding this claim, see the explanation of claim 8.

Application/Control Number: 10/516,351

Art Unit: 3673

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jonathan J. Liu whose telephone number is (571) 272-

8227. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8 am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Patricia Engle can be reached on (571) 272-6660. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jonathan J Liu Examiner Art Unit 3673

Patricia Engle

Supervisory Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3673

Page 6